



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20531  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/319,411	10/06/1994	PETER NIELSEN	ISIS1158	8648

7590 06/18/2002

JOHN W CALDWELL  
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ  
MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS  
ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002

46

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance with  
37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No. 08/319,411	Applicant(s) Nielsen et al.
Examiner Ardin Marschel	Art Unit 1631

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

The Appeal Brief filed on Mar 26, 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.  A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
  - (a)  the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
  - (b)  the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):  
*Regarding item 4 above the minor page/line number citations clearly do not form a concise statement of the pending claims.*

*Regarding item 8 above, appellants are reminded that the amendment, filed 2/2/98, has not been entered. See the bottom of Paper No. 18, mailed 6/9/98, regarding this non-entry. Also, the amendment, filed 3/19/99, has not been entered. See the middle of page 2 of Paper No. 23, mailed 4/16/99. The non-entry of these amendments results in significant differences in the pending claim wording compared to the Appendix copy of appealed claims, filed with appellants' Brief, on 3/26/02.*

*Ardin H. Marschel*  
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER